

*A Final Report on Building Evaluation Capacity for Programs
Funded by the Champaign County Community Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
Boards (CCMHDDDB) Year 7*

APPENDICES FOR SECTION II THRU SECTION VII

*Emily Blevins, M.S.
Hope Holland, M.S.
Nathan Todd, Ph.D.
Mark Aber, Ph.D.*

Department of Psychology University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

September 10, 2022

APPENDICES FOR SECTION II

Appendix Items:

Section II A: Revised Preference Assessment

Section II B: Performance Outcome Report (DRAFT)

ISC Preference Assessment

1. Please tell us who is completing this assessment.
 - Individual interested in receiving supports/services
 - Parent/guardian

2. Please provide Demographic Information of person on PUNS list

Gender	Race	Ethnicity	Age	Total Household Income:
<input type="checkbox"/> Male	<input type="checkbox"/> White	<input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic	<input type="checkbox"/> Under18	<input type="checkbox"/> ≤ \$19,000/annually
<input type="checkbox"/> Female	<input type="checkbox"/> Black/African American	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hispanic	<input type="checkbox"/> 18-23	<input type="checkbox"/> \$19,000-\$30,000/annually
<input type="checkbox"/> Transgender	<input type="checkbox"/> Asian/Asian American	<input type="checkbox"/> Middle Eastern/North African	<input type="checkbox"/> 23-30	<input type="checkbox"/> \$30,000-\$60,000/annually
<input type="checkbox"/> Gender Non-Conforming	<input type="checkbox"/> Biracial/Multi-Racial	<input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer	<input type="checkbox"/> 30-40	<input type="checkbox"/> ≥ \$60,000/annually
<input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Over 40	<input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer
	<input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer			

3. How long have you been waiting on the PUNS list?
 - less than 1 year
 - 1 to 3 years
 - 3 to 5 years
 - Longer than 5 years

4. Which service category are you currently in on PUNS?
 - Seeking Services (Need services within one year)
 - Planning for Services (Do not need services for at least one year)

5. Where are you currently living?
 - In own home with no support
 - In own home with occasional support
 - At home with family
 - Supportive Living Facility

ISC Preference Assessment

6. What is your preferred living arrangement?
 - Live with family
 - Live alone
 - Live with roommates
 - 24-Hour Supervised Group Home (CILA)- Single Bedroom
 - 24-Hour Supervised Group Home (CILA) Shared Bedroom
 - Host Family CILA
 - Intermittent CILA
 - Intermediate Care Facility (ICF/DD)
 - Community Living Facility (CLF)
 - Supportive Living Facility (SLF)
 - State Operated Developmental Center

7. If you prefer to live in a setting with housemates, what is your preferred number of housemates? _____

8. Where do you want to live? (City, County, Geographic Region) (Select All that Apply):
 - Ludlow
 - Urbana
 - Bondville
 - Broadlands
 - Champaign
 - Dewey
 - Fisher
 - Foosland
 - Gifford
 - Homer
 - Ivesdale
 - Longview
 - Mahomet
 - Ogden
 - Penfield
 - Pesotum
 - Philo
 - Rantoul
 - Royal

ISC Preference Assessment

- Sadorus
- St. Joseph
- Savoy
- Seymour
- Sidney
- Thomasboro
- Tolono
- Champaign County
- Outside Champaign County
- Outside of Illinois
- No Preference

9. Are you interested in support to find competitive employment opportunities?

- Yes
- No

10. Are you interested in volunteer opportunities?

- Yes
- No

11. Do you currently work or volunteer anywhere in the community? If so, please specify _____

12. What types of work/volunteer opportunities are you interested in pursuing?

- Office
- Retail
- Restaurant/Food Services
- Factory
- Outdoors
- Construction
- Automotive
- Service Industry
- Recreation
- Public Services
- Education/Childcare
- Agriculture
- Working with Animals

ISC Preference Assessment

- The Arts
- Trade Work
- Technology Services
- Health Services
- Finances
- Writer
- Other
 - Please Specify _____

13. Are you currently participating in any community groups/organizations?

- Yes
- No
- Name of group or activity _____

14. What community groups/organizations would you like to participate in:

- Continuing Education
- Champaign Urbana Special Recreation (CUSR)
- Best Buddies
- Special Olympics
- Church
- Groups and/or Clubs
- Gardening
- Health & Wellness
- YMCA
- Other
 - Please Specify _____

15. What leisure activities do you currently enjoy taking part in?

- Going to the Movies
- Theatre/Arts/Museums
- Shopping
- Zoo/Aquariums
- Parks
- Recreation/Sports
- Swimming
- Sporting Events
- Concerts
- Festivals

ISC Preference Assessment

- Eating Out
- Other
 - Please Specify _____

16. Are there leisure activities that you would like to participate in but are not available to you? If yes, please specify:

17. What areas would you benefit from support in?

- Independent/Daily Living
- Medical
- Financial
- Transportation
- Community Day Services
- Competitive Employment Services
- Assistive Technology
- Socialization
- Behavioral Therapy/Counseling
- Physical Therapy/Occupational Therapy/Speech Therapy
- Respite Services
- None
- Other
 - Please Specify _____

18. Are you currently on the waiting list for any of the above services?

- Yes
- No

19. If yes, please specify below what agencies you are currently on a waitlist with, what services you are seeking, and length of time you have been on the waitlist?

Provider Agency:	Service/Support Seeking:	Length of Time on Wait List:
		<input type="checkbox"/> ≤ 1 year <input type="checkbox"/> 1 to 3 years <input type="checkbox"/> 3 to 5 years <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 5 years
		<input type="checkbox"/> ≤ 1 year <input type="checkbox"/> 1 to 3 years

ISC Preference Assessment

		<input type="checkbox"/> 3 to 5 years <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 5 years
		<input type="checkbox"/> ≤ 1 year <input type="checkbox"/> 1 to 3 years <input type="checkbox"/> 3 to 5 years <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 5 years

20. Are you currently receiving case management services? If so, where from?

- Not currently receiving services
- CCDDDB/CCRPC Independent Service Coordination
- DSC
- Rosecrance
- PACE Center for Independent Living
- Community Choices
- Other
 - Please Specify_____

21. On a scale from 1 to 10, how comfortable are you in navigating the DD system and/advocating for yourself or your loved one?

1 _____ 2 _____ 3 _____ 4 _____ 5 _____ 6 _____ 7 _____ 8 _____ 9 _____ 10

Not Comfortable
Somewhat Comfortable
Very Comfortable

Performance Outcome Report Template

In your CCMHB program plan (application), you identified performance outcomes in three domains: consumer access, consumer outcomes, and utilization data. Now, you must report on the actual outcomes your program activities achieved in those three domains.

Agency name:
Program name:
Submission date:

Consumer Access – complete at end of year only
Eligibility for service/program
<p>1. <i>From your application</i>, what are the eligibility criteria for your services? (I.e., who is eligible for your services?) (Consumer Access, question #1 in the Program Plan application)</p>
<p>2. How did you determine if a particular person met those criteria (e.g., specific score on an assessment, self-report from potential participants, proof of income, etc.)?</p>
<p>3. How did your target population learn about your services? (e.g., from outreach events, from referral from court, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Are we asking this? We don't ask it on the preference assessment anywhere... - Maybe the transition consultants are asking this? - I know most people hear about us b/c schools are referring to us from PUNS or they get hooked up through a provider agency & have to get on PUNS to get their services, but I don't know that we have a specific question anywhere about this...
<p>4. a) <i>From your application</i>, estimated percentage of persons who sought assistance or were referred who would receive services (Consumer Access, question #4 in the Program Plan application):</p>

<p>b) Actual percentage of individuals who sought assistance or were referred who received services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - People on PUNS who then started receiving services through county-funded service - Does “services” include getting registered for PUNS? - Email folder with Angela & Mary to put intake referrals?
<p>5. a) From your application, estimated length of time from referral/assistance seeking to assessment of eligibility/need (Consumer Access, question #5 in the Program Plan application):</p>
<p>b) From your application, estimated percentage of referred clients who would be assessed for eligibility within that time frame (Consumer Access, question #6 in the Program Plan application):</p>
<p>c) Actual percentage of referred clients assessed for eligibility within that time frame:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preference Assessment – waiting for our services - Mary would be able to speak to this
<p>6. a) From your application, estimated length of time from assessment of eligibility/need to engagement in services (Consumer Access, question #7 in the Program Plan application):</p>
<p>b) From your application, estimated percentage of eligible clients who would be engaged in services within that time frame (Consumer Access, question #8 in the Program Plan application):</p>
<p>c) Actual percentage of clients assessed as eligible who were engaged in services within that time frame:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do “services” mean with connecting with a county ISC? If so, no additional eligibility determination after PUNS registration.. - Are “services” registration for PUNS? Do we report separate lengths of time for these different services?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is this length of time between someone being PUNS selected and when we get them funded? - Mary would be able to speak to this
<p>7. a) <i>From your application</i>, estimated average length of participant engagement in services (Consumer Access, question #9 in the Program Plan application):</p>
<p>b) <i>Actual</i> average length of participant engagement in services: - Break up by service (ISC, Transition Consultant, Mary case mgmt)</p>
<p>Demographic Information</p>
<p>1. <i>In your application</i> what, if any, demographic information did you indicate you would collect beyond those required (i.e. beyond race/ethnicity, age, gender, zip code)? (Demographic Information, question #1 in the Program Plan application)</p>
<p>2. Please report here on all of the extra demographic information your program collected.</p>

<p>Consumer Outcomes – complete at end of year only</p> <p>During the application process, you identified participant outcomes that your program activities would impact. Here, report the actual participant outcomes achieved as a result of your program activities</p> <p>1. <i>From your application</i>, what impact on consumers did you expect your program activities to have? That is, what outcome(s) did you want your program to have on the people it is serving? (Consumer Outcomes, question #1 in the Program Plan application). Please number each outcome.</p>

Outcome # 1: Individuals with I/DD will have greater choice of services and supports in Champaign County.
 Outcome #2: Individuals with I/DD transitioning out of secondary education will have a goal plan in place developed collaboratively with their Transition Consultant.
 Outcome #3: Individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning Program will be supported in service connection based on their personal preferences; they will also meet eligibility criteria and have quicker access to Medicaid Waiver Services upon being selected from PUNS.

2. For each outcome, please indicate the specific survey or assessment tool you used to collect information on this outcome in the chart below. (Please remember that the tool used should be evidence-based or empirically validated.)

Additionally, in the chart below, please indicate who provided this information (e.g. participant, participant’s guardian(s), clinician/service provider, other program staff (if other program staff, indicate their role).) Please report all sources of information that apply for each assessment tool (e.g. the XYZ survey may be completed by both a youth client and their caregiver(s)).

Outcome:	Assessment Tool Used:	Information Source:
E.g. 1. Increased empowerment in advocacy clients	Measure of Victim Empowerment Related to Safety (MOVERS) survey	Client
Individuals with I/DD will have greater choice of services and supports in Champaign County.*	Preference Assessment Person-Centered Plan <i>maybe not</i>	Client ISC
Individuals with I/DD transitioning out of secondary education will have a goal plan in place developed collaboratively	# connections with schools (Outreach numbers in Transition Consultant spreadsheet)	Transition Consultants

Commented [EB1]: # of total services clients w/ & w/o ISC are receiving
 Waitlist information: For # of total services receiving – include services on waitlist

with their Transition Consultant.		
Individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning Program will be supported in service connection based on their personal preferences	Person Centered Plan Preference Assessment	Client ISC
They will also meet eligibility criteria upon being selected from PUNS	DHS PAS	DHS
Have quicker access to Medicaid Waiver Services upon being selected from PUNS	Medicaid Waiver Service Award Letters	DHS
Individuals will report feeling supported/satisfied	Satisfaction Survey*	Client
Clients in crisis working with an ISC will be connected to crisis services more quickly ; crises will be recognized more quickly?	Crisis pass paperwork for Champaign County	BirdsEye (DHS)
<p>3. Was outcome information gathered from every participant who received service, or only some?</p> <p>Just people on PUNS registering for first time or completing annual update.</p>		
<p>4. If only some participants, how did you choose who to collect outcome information from?</p>		

Commented [EB2]: Change to build connections in school

Commented [EB3]: Deleting this outcome 06/15/2022

<p>5. How many total participants did your program have?</p> <p>Combine all transition consultant #s, clients served with ISC, & Mary's clients</p> <p>Transition Consultant #s: Clients with ISC: Mary's clients:</p>
<p>6. How many people did you <i>attempt</i> to collect outcome information from?</p> <p>Transition Consultant #s: Clients with ISC: Mary's clients:</p>
<p>7. How many people did you <i>actually</i> collect outcome information from?</p> <p># completed preference assessments # person-centered plans</p>
<p>8. How often and when was this information collected? (e.g. 1x a year in the spring; at client intake and discharge, etc)</p> <p>Pref assessment: intake, renewed annually Person-centered plan: intake & annual</p>
<p>Results</p>

9. What did you learn about your participants and/or program from this outcome information? Please be specific when discussing any change or outcome, and give appropriate quantitative or descriptive information when possible. For example, you could report the following:

- i. Means (and Standard Deviations if possible)
- ii. Change Over Time (if assessments occurred at multiple points)
- iii. Comparison of strategies (e.g., comparing different strategies related to recruitment; comparing rates of retention for clients of different ethn racial groups; comparing characteristics of all clients engaged versus clients retained)

~~Individuals with I/DD will have greater choice of services and supports in Champaign County.~~

~~— Preference Assessment: # of total services clients w/ & w/o ISC are receiving — include services on waitlist~~

Transition Consultants will increase connections in community to increase referrals for students transitioning out of secondary education.

connections with schools (Outreach numbers in Transition Consultant spreadsheet)
Transition Consultants made # connections

Individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning Program will be supported in service connection based on their personal preferences

- Last year's answer: 100% of persons eligible for DD services were given the opportunity to report their service preferences. This is standard practice during annual PUNS registration or PUNS update meetings. However, only 64% chose to participate in a preference assessment.
- #/% of persons connected with services (clients w/ ISC compared to clients w/o ISC); speed of connecting them? (in the narrative report rather than average waiting period to funding was 3 months for ISC-supported clients vs 4 months for non-ISC-supported clients)

Individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning Program will meet eligibility criteria upon being selected from PUNS

- Last year's answer: Proposed Outcome: 95% of individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Program will be found eligible for Medicaid Waiver Services and 90% will begin receiving services within three months. Results: 21 individuals who received Decision Support Person Centered Planning services were selected from PUNS in FY21 (July 12, 2021). 100% of individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning program were found eligible for Medicaid Waiver Services.

Commented [EB4]: Connection to state-funded services?

Individuals selected from PUNS who were provided service through the Decision Support Person Centered Planning Program will receive Medicaid Waiver Services award letter more quickly

10. Is there some comparative target or benchmark level for program services? Y/N

11. If yes, what is that benchmark/target and where does it come from?

12. If yes, how did your outcome data compare to the comparative target or benchmark?

(Optional) Narrative Example(s):

13. Describe a typical service delivery case to illustrate the work (this may be a “composite case” that combines information from multiple actual cases) (Your response is optional)

14. In what ways was the evaluation used to support changes in practice? What changes were made based on evaluation findings? (Your response is optional)

--

Utilization Data Narrative –

The utilization data chart is to be completed at the end of each quarter (including quarter 4) using the online reporting system.

Comparative yearly totals (i.e. reporting estimates and actual numbers) and the narrative section described below are to be completed at end of year only.

Here, you will report on the different types of service categories specified in your program plan application. Please remember that programs **do not** need to collect and report on every category- instead, you are to report only the ones that are most useful for understanding program impact.

1. Please copy and paste the definitions of service categories your program specified in your program plan application in the sections below. **You will report the actual numbers of clients/contacts/community events for each reported service category in the Part II Utilization/Production data form (located on the online system).** If your estimated number of clients/contacts/community events for reported service categories significantly differ from your actual numbers, you may give a narrative explanation for that discrepancy here.

Treatment Plan Clients (TPC):

Non-treatment Plan Clients (NTPC):

Community Service Events (CSE):

Service Contacts (SC):

For more information on SCs, CSEs, TPCs, and NTPCs, see the Service Definitions at the end of the glossary (located at the end of the Performance Outcome Report Instructions).

APPENDICES FOR SECTION III

Appendix Items:

Section III A: Well Family Care Logic Model


Section III B: Girls 2 Life Logic Model

Section III C: Overview of Data Workbook

Section III D: Cohesive Intake Assessment

APPENDIX SECTION III A

Well Family Care



Girls to Life Youth Development Program (G2L)

Activities

Shorter-term Outcomes

Longer-term Outcomes

Service Activities that are Intentionally Healing-Centered, Holistic, and Culturally-Responsive

1
G2L youth and caregivers/ family develop and enhance a **positive home identity**

2
G2L youth and family/caregivers develop and enhance understanding of their own **traumatic experiences and core beliefs**

3
G2L youth and family/caregivers develop and enhance **connection to their geographic and cultural communities (positive community identity)**

4
G2L youth and family/caregivers cultivate, enhance, and apply a **growth mindset**

Cultivating and enhancing **self-love and confidence**

Experience **ongoing and iterative healing** from trauma



Identity Renewal



OVERVIEW OF DATA WORKBOOK

This document contains screenshots of the Excel data analytic workbook. When possible, the workbook has built in dropdown responses to streamline and improve reliability of data entry. The workbook also has built in analytic formulas to assess total scores and differences over time.

				Data Source(s)
Outcome Domains	Psychological Health Outcomes	Youth Psychological Health:	Broad Psychological Health Symptoms:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure for DSM-5 (version: Age 11-17, Self-Report) •Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure for DSM-5 (version: age 6-17, Caregiver Rater)
			Trauma Symptoms:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Child Rating of Trauma Symptoms Measure for DSM5 (version:xyz Self-Report) •Parent rated trauma symptom measure (version: xyz, Caregiver Rater)
		Caregiver Psychological Health:	Broad Psychological Health Symptoms:	•Level 1 Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure (version: Adult, Self-Report)
			Trauma Symptoms:	•PCL-5 (version: Adult, Self-Report)
	Academic Outcomes	Youth Academic Success:	Grades:	Grades as measured by (GPA) reported quarterly in mid-semester progress report and end of semester report cards,
			Attendance:	Reported by attendance records

Assessment Reporter			
Self-Report			
Informant Report (i.e., Parent or Guardian)			
<i>Note: Built-in redundancy to support use of correct sheet</i>			

Assessment Timepoint			
Baseline (i.e., Intake)			
Timepoint 2 (Approximately 3 mos after intake)			
Timepoint 3 (Approx. 6 mos after intake)			
Timepoint 4 (Approx. 9 months after intake)			
Timepoint 5 (Approx. 12 mos after intake)			
<i>Note: Assessment Timepoint is relative to clients intake date and length of time in the program, with data collection schedule streamlined for ease (Sept., Dec., March, June)</i>			

YOUTH ASSESSMENTS			
Broad Psychological Symptoms			
Youth Self-Reported Broad Psychological Symptoms			
Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure Level 1; Age 11-17		Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure Level 1; Youth Self Report (11-17 years)	
Items 1-19		Domain Thresholds Indicating Further Assessment	
Response Options	Numerical Value	Domain:	Item(s) Included in Domain:
None	0	1. Somatic Symptoms	Items 1 and 2
Slight	1	2. Sleep Problems	Item 3
Mild	2	3. Inattention	Item 4
Moderate	3	4. Depression	Items 5 and 6
Severe	4	5. Anger	Items 7 and 8
		6. Irritability	Items 7 and 8
		7. Mania	Items 9 and 10
		8. Anxiety	Items 11, 12, and 13
		9. Psychosis	Items 14 and 15
		10. Repetitive Thoughts & Behaviors	Items 16, 17, 18, and 19
		11. Substance Use	Items 20, 21, 22, and 23
		12. Suicidal Ideation/Attempts	Items 24 and 25
			Any "Yes" -- Pause current assessments and begin safety protocol, etc.
Items 20-25	Numerical Value		
Response Options	Numerical Value		
Yes	1		
No	0		

Caregiver Informant Broad Psychological Symptoms

Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure Level 1; Caregiver Informant		Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure Level 1; Caregiver Informant		
Items 1-19		Domain Thresholds Indicating Further Assessment		
Response Options	Numerical Value	Domain:	Item(s) Included in Domain:	Score Threshold Indicating Further Assessment:
None	0	1. Somatic Symptoms	Items 1 and 2	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Slight	1	2. Sleep Problems	Item 3	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Mild	2	3. Inattention	Item 4	Slight or greater (Rating of 1 or more)
Moderate	3	4. Depression	Items 5 and 6	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Severe	4	5. Anger	Items 7 and 8	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Items 20-25		6. Irritability	Items 7 and 8	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Response Options	Numerical Value	7. Mania	Items 9 and 10	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
Yes	1	8. Anxiety	Items 11, 12, and 13	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
No	0	9. Psychosis	Items 14 and 15	Slight or greater (Rating of 1 or more)
Don't Know	1	10. Repetitive Thoughts & Behaviors	Items 16, 17, 18, and 19	Mild or greater (Rating of 2 or more)
		11. Substance Use	Items 20, 21, 22, and 23	Any "Yes" or "Not Sure"
		12. Suicidal Ideation/Attempts	Items 24 and 25	Any "Yes" -- If appropriate, Pause current assessments and discuss safety protocol, etc.

s

2]

The Well Experience Cohesive Intake Assessment

Included Components:

Part 1: Family Background and Individual Demographic Information

- **Demographic information:**
 - Family income range; zipcode, referral source
 - Referral source: School, DCFS, Community Youth Programs (DREAM. B&G club), Youth Assessment Center, TWE, Other
 - TPC: Summer group is a TPC;
 - youth age(s), gender(s), race/ethnicity(s)
 - Caregiver relationship, gender, race/ethnicity
- **Family Context** (informed by Support Network and Psychosocial Stressors CFI supplementary modules and FAST 3.0 items)
 - Open-ended: What brought you to TWE? (Use this to assess current potential needs/stressors and hopes for their engagement in the program)
 - Salient Context/Family Stressors (this may inform Presenting Needs section):
 - i. Current: list of options as well as open-ended (options include, for example: family arguments, not enough time with family, parental absence (death, incarceration, other), family member substance use, DCFS involvement, siblings fight, limited financial resources, not enough food, homelessness, health concerns, etc, stress engaging with child's school, community violence, etc.
 - ii. Past (only assessed during intake): e.g., family member was previously incarcerated; family was previously homeless
 - **Family Functioning: Family functioning domain items from Fast (family conflict, family role appropriateness**
 - **Family Supports:** E.g., Extended family; religious or spiritual organization (e.g., Church); family friends
 - **Family Strengths:** E.g., Communication, spirituality, tenacity, etc [items from FAST 3.0]
 - **Family Needs: (items from FAST about family safety, financial resources, residential stability)**
 - Literal resource needs (e.g., food, help signing up for insurance, identifying primary care, etc)
 - Abstract needs: e.g., caregiver-youth relationship needs

(Summary of Presenting Needs:

- From staff/interviewer perspective; to be included in case notes.)

Reason for Engaging with TWE:

- This may be related to presenting needs, salient stressors, etc, but not necessarily
- "What are you hoping to achieve by engaging with The Well?"

Part 2: Individual Baseline Assessments

Assessment of Youth		
Broad Assessment of Psychological Distress		
Informant	Measure	Notes
Self-Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure (Youth aged 11-17) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If total score 15 or more, administer Child Report of PTSD Symptoms (CROPS)</i>
Caregiver/ Parent Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure (Parent/Guardian version) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If total score 15 or more, administer Parent Report of PTSD Symptoms (PROPS)</i>
Assessment of Trauma Symptoms and Behaviors		
Self-Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Child Report of PTSD Symptoms (CROPS) 	
Caregiver/ Parent Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parent Report of PTSD Symptoms (PROPS) 	

Assessment of Caregiver/Parent		
Broad Assessment of Psychological Distress		
Informant	Measure	Notes
Self-Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cross-Cutting Symptom Measure (Adult version) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If indicated total score 15 or more, administer PTSD Checklist-5th Edition (PCL-5)</i>
Assessment of Trauma Symptoms and Behaviors		
Self-Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PTSD Checklist-5th Edition (PCL-5) 	

Part 3: Academic-related Outcomes:

Measurement Timepoints: Baseline, September, December, March, June

- Consent/permission to obtain reports of student's grades, attendance, and school-related disciplinary measures (e.g., detention; suspension)**

- **GPA 3.0 and above, 2.9 and below**
- **Attendance (total absences): Chronic absenteeism= 18 or more days missed**
- **Academic problems: — not reported as an evaluation outcome, but used for case management**

APPENDICES FOR SECTION IV


Appendix Items:

Section IV A: WIN Recovery Theory of Change Logic Model

Section IV B: PCL-5 Measure

Section IV C: Overview of Analytic Strategy

APPENDIX SECTION IV A



WIn Recovery Provides a Holistic Foundation for Healing and Recovery from Substance Abuse and Trauma

PCL-5

Instructions: Below is a list of problems that people sometimes have in response to a very stressful experience. Please read each problem carefully and then circle one of the numbers to the right to indicate how much you have been bothered by that problem in the past month.

In the past month, how much were you bothered by:	Not at all	A little bit	Moderately	Quite a bit	Extremely
1. Repeated, disturbing, and unwanted memories of the stressful experience?	0	1	2	3	4
2. Repeated, disturbing dreams of the stressful experience?	0	1	2	3	4
3. Suddenly feeling or acting as if the stressful experience were actually happening again (as if you were actually back there reliving it)?	0	1	2	3	4
4. Feeling very upset when something reminded you of the stressful experience?	0	1	2	3	4
5. Having strong physical reactions when something reminded you of the stressful experience (for example, heart pounding, trouble breathing, sweating)?	0	1	2	3	4
6. Avoiding memories, thoughts, or feelings related to the stressful experience?	0	1	2	3	4
7. Avoiding external reminders of the stressful experience (for example, people, places, conversations, activities, objects, or situations)?	0	1	2	3	4
8. Trouble remembering important parts of the stressful experience?	0	1	2	3	4
9. Having strong negative beliefs about yourself, other people, or the world (for example, having thoughts such as: I am bad, there is something seriously wrong with me, no one can be trusted, the world is completely dangerous)?	0	1	2	3	4
10. Blaming yourself or someone else for the stressful experience or what happened after it?	0	1	2	3	4
11. Having strong negative feelings such as fear, horror, anger, guilt, or shame?	0	1	2	3	4
12. Loss of interest in activities that you used to enjoy?	0	1	2	3	4
13. Feeling distant or cut off from other people?	0	1	2	3	4
14. Trouble experiencing positive feelings (for example, being unable to feel happiness or have loving feelings for people close to you)?	0	1	2	3	4
15. Irritable behavior, angry outbursts, or acting aggressively?	0	1	2	3	4
16. Taking too many risks or doing things that could cause you harm?	0	1	2	3	4
17. Being "superalert" or watchful or on guard?	0	1	2	3	4
18. Feeling jumpy or easily startled?	0	1	2	3	4
19. Having difficulty concentrating?	0	1	2	3	4
20. Trouble falling or staying asleep?	0	1	2	3	4

Overview of Analytic Strategy

This document contains screenshots of the Excel data analytic workbook. When possible, the workbook has built in dropdown responses to streamline and improve reliability of data entry. The workbook also has built in analytic formulas to assess total scores and differences over time.

Outcome Language:
On average, women report an X point reduction in PTSD symptoms at Timepoint 2 (Transition to WIN Independent House) as compared to Baseline.
On average, women report an X point reduction in PTSD symptoms at Timepoint 3 (Transitioning to Independent Living compared to baseline.
On average, women report an X point reduction in PTSD symptoms at Timepoint 4 (6 months after transitioning to living independently) compared to baseline.
Overall, [X] number of women demonstrated reliable change in PTSD scores as compared to baseline assessment.
Overall, [X] number of women demonstrated statistically-significant change in PTSD scores as compared to baseline assessment.

Analytic Strategy
Analysis will start with one aggregate group; if high symptom trajectory results are getting "washed out" with low symptom trajectory results, then could consider having two analysis groups:: one with baseline high trauma symptom trajectories and one with baseline low trauma symptom trajectories.

Please note that all client reported data and associated outcome numbers included in this document are fake and for testing and example purposes only.

Templates and Descriptions																			
1) Template for PCL-5 Data Entry				2) Template for Client Sheet				3) Template for Visual Charts											
Manually Enter	Use Dropdown to Select	Manually Enter	Use Dropdown to Select	Domain B: Intrusion Symptoms					Domain C: Avoidance Symp		Domain D: Negative Alterations in Cognition and/or Mood						Domain E:		
ClientID	Program Enrollment	Date of Measure	Data Timepoint	PCL_1	PCL_2	PCL_3	PCL_4	PCL_5	PC_6	PCL_7	PCL_8	PCL_9	PCL_10	PCL_11	PCL_12	PCL_13	PCL_14	PCL_15	PCL_16

AVERAGEIF(WorkshopType, 'Reference Sheet'!\$U\$5, 'Data_Aggregated Item Responses '!AH:AH)

All Programs, PCL Score Average by Timepoint					
Average Total PCL	Average Total	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint3	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint5
36	49	33	28	41	27

All Programs Average Change:			
T1-T2	1-T3	T1-T4	T1-T5
16	21	8	23

Re-Entry Program, PCL Score Average by Timepoint					
Average Total PCL	Average Total	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint3	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint5
37	53	31	23	48	40

Re-Entry Program Average Change:			
T1-T2	1-T3	T1-T4	T1-T5
22	30	5	13

Decarceration Program, PCL Score Average by Timepoint					
Average Total PCL	Average Total	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint3	Average Total_Timep	AverageTotal Timepoint5
33	42	38	38	34	13

Decarceration Timepoint Average Change:			
T1-T2	1-T3	T1-T4	T1-T5
4	4	8	29

--

List of Response Options for Data Validation Dropdowns:

Program Enrollment	WIN Re-Entry Decarceration
--------------------	-------------------------------

Program Enrollment
Response Options
WIN Re-Entry
Decarceration

Item Response Options:	Value for Excel Calculations
Not at all	0
A little bit	1
Moderately	2
Quite a bit	3
Extremely	4
(Missing response)	"No data"

PCL-5 Measure Data Collection Timepoints		
	Variable Name	Description of Timepoint
WIN Recovery Phase 1	PCLTotal_Baseline	Baseline/Intake
WIN Recovery Phase 2	PCLTotal_Timepoint2	Transition to WIN independent house
WIN Recovery Phase 3	PCLTotal_Timepoint3	Transition to living independently (e.g., housing voucher)
	PCLTotal_Timepoint4	6 months after transition to living independently

Data Collection Timepoints:	Time point numerical value
Baseline	1
Transition to WIN independent House	2
Transition to Living Independently	3
6 months after Living Independently	4
Program Graduation	5

APPENDICES FOR SECTION V

Appendix Items:

Section V A: Outcomes Mapping Document

Section V B: Family Feedback Form

Section V C: Data Collection and Evaluation Timeline

Section V D: Performance Outcomes Report Instructions

Section V E: Data Tracking Spreadsheet

APPENDIX SECTION V A

<p>Goals for Next Iteration of Community Living Program Evaluation/Program Goals</p>	<p>Outcomes What would you expect to see to know goal is being met? Participant outcomes</p>	<p>Data Sources/Indicators How is this being measured/tracked? How do you know participant are doing this? What is the checkbox?</p>	<p>Timing</p>
<p>People have rich and fulfilling lives (self-defined)</p>	<p>/Housing figured out /Job they like and/or financially secure /Have friends/connection to broader world /Have stuff they like to do /Know how to navigate challenges/barriers (could include having a system or supports)</p>	<p>/Participants say “I like where I live” - Independent living skills checklist response transferred to narrative report /Sustained housing over time - Where were they at start of fiscal year, did they move (narrative report; filled out by case worker) / “Do you have enough money to meet your basic needs?” “have money to do the things you want to do” from POM /money left over after paying all bills (witnessed by case worker helping with budget – maybe in narrative report) /contact notes? (prob not practical source longterm) /Planning interview – how much can you afford to pay, SSI, monthly income, section on finances (sources of income, who manages money)</p>	<p>Annual plan update, tracked across time in narrative report Narrative report updated quarterly Annually in POM update Narrative report updated quarterly ?? At intake</p>
<p>People maintain housing over a sustained period</p>	<p>/Nobody is homeless /People aren’t switching locations; staying in the same place for a period</p>	<p>/Participants say “I like where I live” - Independent living skills</p>	<p>Annual plan update, tracked across time in narrative report</p>

	<p>of months without emergency situations or crises (e.g., unsure if they'll be able to pay rent) /People don't have to move to higher level of support (e.g., group home)</p>	<p>checklist response transferred to narrative report /Sustained housing over time - Where were they at start of fiscal year, did they move (narrative report; filled out by case worker) /Leaving the program (moving to a group home would eliminate the funding for community living program). Narrative report "Annual Plans" tab</p>	<p>Narrative report updated quarterly</p> <p>Quarterly update to narrative report</p>
<p>Participants have a long-term plan for sustainable community living</p>	<p>/Having a plan written -- e.g., In the next five years, moving out... & participants say plan feels doable/realistic /Report from person that they feel good/confident in being in the world /If ask participants "What will happen after your parents can't help you," they say "I'm okay. I know that CC can help me, or I know how to do that myself, or I know who can help me" /Participants have strategy for navigating challenges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Recognizing barriers people may have and how we'll mitigate those and troubleshoot them to be successful - Participants know when to ask for help - Could include power of 	<p>/3rd part of Planning Interview (long-term planning). Question for family: "Do you feel like you can make some of these changes (e.g., stepping back in some areas; contacting lawyer)?" /Self-efficacy questions in Living Skills Checklist</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maybe one learned helplessness statement (e.g., I need help from people when I have problems.) and another on self-advocacy (e.g., "I know how to solve problems on my own") <p>/Family questions in annual planning: "You said you were going to work on xyz, have</p>	<p>/Intake</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p> <p>/Annual planning</p>

	<p>attorney/crisis planning</p> <p>/Participants and parents understand local resources for attaining long-term plan (e.g., where to get an attorney, get help with a trust, guardianship)</p>	<p>you made progress? Were the resources we provided helpful/used?"</p> <p>/Feedback form after planning interview ("Are your next steps manageable and clear?")</p>	<p>/Intake</p>
<p>Parents feel able to step back in support areas</p>	<p>/Parents have more free time (e.g., able to go on vacation, go out for dinner)</p> <p>/Higher quality of time spent with child (able to do more nice things rather than spending time on supports)</p> <p>/Parents report having more time to self and being able to step back</p>	<p>/Planning interview (where are we now, what do we want this to be)</p> <p>/Initial feedback form ("how much free time do you have in your life" "how much quality time – not providing support – do you spend with your child?")</p> <p>/Annual family evaluation form ("how much free time do you have in your life" "how much quality time – not providing support – do you spend with your child?" "Do you think these changes are because your person is more independent?")</p>	<p>/Intake</p> <p>/Post-intake</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p>
<p>People have the skills to self-direct their ongoing supports and problem solve</p>	<p>/Participants experience a sense of agency or ownership over their goals. People feel in charge of their lives.</p> <p>/Self-directing supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - e.g., I know who I can call if I need help with SNAP benefits; I know Carly can help me reach my PSW. I know how to call my PSW to ask them to pick me up from 	<p>/POM interview ("I define my goals," "I realize my goals")</p> <p>/Self-efficacy scale in Living Skills Checklist</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - E.g., "I sometimes have to tell people what I need" vs "Other people know best what I need" 	<p>/Annual planning process</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p>

	<p>work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Participants are deciding what they need rather than agencies deciding for them (e.g., “I want to live here; I don’t want to live there.”) - Participants define their goals and are involved in the process of how to make them happen <p>/Problem-solving:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - People tell us about it. They call Ryan and say they had this problem, they did this. & They ask “was this okay” - If we don’t hear about it, that often means it’s worked out <p>/People have learned the skills they said they wanted to learn & are implementing those, maintaining them, & able to generalize those skills to other settings</p> <p>/Increased sense of self-agency</p>	<p>/Self-efficacy scale of Living Skills Checklist</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - E.g., “I know that things will work out because I have the ability to find solutions” vs “I never know how to fix my problems” <p>/POM interview (“I realize my goals”)</p> <p>/Narrative summary (goals met yes/no – counts/percentages; supports</p>	<p>/Annual planning process</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p> <p>/Annual planning process</p>
<p>Natural supports maximized to the greatest extent possible</p>	<p>/Participants can identify their natural supports (e.g., I could call Jasmine about the bus because she’s good at taking the bus)</p> <p>/Participants have skills/plan for</p>	<p>/Asked in POM interview (not part of scoring) - If they have a goal for that, then use of natural resources would be tracked in notes, narrative report etc <i>**Do we want to ask about this in the family annual eval form or add a question to the ILSC for the participant? – maybe not high on the list</i></p> <p>/If they had a goal, then</p>	<p>/Annual planning process, regular meetings/quarterly reports</p> <p>/possibly quarterly reports, annual planning process</p>

	<p>incorporating friends, other resources/people/acquaintances into their plan of how they get around the world & get things done on a regular basis</p> <p>*Difficult to know*</p>	tracked	
<p>People are satisfied with their housing and have the skills, resources, and connections to sustain it.</p>	<p>/People stay in housing</p> <p>/People say they like their housing</p> <p>/Able to pay bills to stay in place they like</p> <p>/Can use resources available to help them pay bills (e.g., voucher, IHEAP)</p> <p>/House is clean enough, relatively healthy and safe</p> <p>/Housing allows them to be connected to community they like (e.g., close enough to friends, places they enjoy)</p>	<p>/ILSC – eval questions section</p> <p>/same as above</p> <p>/Quarterly Narrative(done annually)</p> <p>/ILSC</p> <p>/ILSC</p> <p>/ILSC – eval questions (though not direct)</p>	<p>/Annual Planning Process</p>
<p>People’s natural supports increase trust in individual’s growing agency</p>	<p>/Parents can back away (I know it’s okay for me to go out of town)</p> <p>/Parents’ idea of long-term changes a little bit (e.g., from “they’re never going to be able to live on their own” to “maybe this could keep going if I move away or die”) – shift in imagination of what’s possible</p> <p>/Maybe they don’t need to x,y,z; letting the person take over certain tasks on their own – giving them more freedom & control over their own lives (e.g., debit card)</p>	<p>/Parent Eval (annual)</p> <p>/Parent Eval (possibly)</p> <p>/Cumulative goal data in narrative reports, parent eval</p>	<p>/Annual Planning process</p> <p>/Quarterly (but over time)</p>
<p>People increase their sense of agency</p>		ILSC	Annual

People meet goals for identified skills		Narrative Report	Quarterly
People meet resource goals		Narrative Report	Quarterly
People move out		Narrative Report	Quarterly

APPENDIX SECTION V B

Planning Interview - Family Evaluation

To be completed following the completion of the Planning Interview

*** Required**

1. Did you recently complete your INITIAL planning interview, or an ANNUAL planning interview? *

Mark only one oval.

- Initial (just getting started with services) *Skip to question 2*
- Annual (reviewing progress after 1+ years working with us) *Skip to question 5*

Routine, Time, and Family Role - INITIAL

2. How many hours a week of FREE TIME (time to pursue pleasurable activities and interests) do you have each week?

3. How much time per week do you spend providing support, instruction, prompting for your adult family member with a disability?

4. Did going through this planning process with us help you build a new image of what your parent role could be in your family member's community-based life?

Mark only one oval.

- Not at all *Skip to question 10*
- Somewhat *Skip to question 10*
- Yes *Skip to question 10*

Routine, Time, and Family Role - ANNUAL

- 5. How many hours a week of FREE TIME (time to pursue pleasurable activities and interests) do you have each week?

- 6. How much time per week do you spend providing support, instruction, prompting for your adult family member with a disability?

- 7. Have you noticed improvement in the amount of free time or quality time with your family member since becoming involved in the Inclusive Community Support program?

Mark only one oval.

- Not at all
- Somewhat
- Yes, there has been improvement

- 8. If you answered "Somewhat" or "Yes," what do you think has driven this change?

9. Has your parent role changed in the ways you'd hoped/in positive ways since engaging with the Inclusive Community Support Program?

Mark only one oval.

- Not at all Skip to question 12
- Somewhat Skip to question 12
- Yes Skip to question 12

Plan Development - INITIAL

10. Based on your participation in the CC Planning Interview, please rate the following:

Mark only one oval per row.

	1 (Not at all)	2 (Very little)	3 (Somewhat)	4 (A fair amount)	5 (A great deal)
I have clear idea of what I would like a community-based living situation to look like for my family member with I/DD	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I know the immediate next steps necessary to make community-based living possible for my family member with I/DD	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I feel confident that my family member can continue to live in the community without me.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I was provided with resources I am eager to use in my next steps.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. What resources provided in your planning interview are you most eager to use in your next steps?

Skip to question 17

Resources -
ANNUAL

At your Planning Interview we discussed long-term planning steps for your family.

For example:

- Setting up guardianship or successor guardianship
- Creating an ABLÉ account
- Developing a system to report wages to SSA
- Set up a Special Needs Trust
- Discussing long term plans with extended families and/or siblings

12. What progress have you made on these long-term planning steps?

13. Were the resources provided useful in making progress?

Mark only one oval.

- Not at all
- Sometimes
- Yes

14. What else would have been helpful for you to move forward?

Skip to question 15

Impact - ANNUAL

15. Do you feel that the Inclusive Community Support program has been helpful to your family member in the following areas:

Mark only one oval per row.

	1 (Not at all)	2	3	4	5 (Very much)
learning new skills	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
finding or sustaining preferred community-based housing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
connections and social networks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
accessing support other than from yourself	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. Overall, what more could the program be doing to support your family member to live a full, community-based life?

Pilot Questions - INITIAL

17. What was most helpful to you from this Planning Interview Process?

18. What would you have changed in this Planning Interview Process?

19. Did you have a clear sense of what the purpose of the Planning Interview was when starting?

20. Did you have a clear sense of what to expect next from CC at the end of the Planning Interview Meeting?


21. What type of additional resources or materials would have made this process more helpful?

22. Is there anything the facilitators could have changed to make the meeting more productive? If so, what would you have changed?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.




Intake




July 1, 2022 July 20, 2022 – Aug 5, 2022

Initial Individualized Planning Meeting(s)




Aug 12-26, 2022

Action Plan




Sep 1, 2022

Routine Services




Ongoing

Quarterly Report



October 15, 2022
January 15, 2023
April 15, 2023

Annual Planning Meetings



Sep 1, 2023

Gray font: No explicit data collection

Participants have a long-term plan for sustainable community living 2, 3
Parents feel able to step back in support areas 3
Natural supports maximized to the greatest extent possible 2
People's natural supports increase trust in individual's growing agency 3

People have rich and fulfilling lives (self-defined) 4, 5
People maintain housing over a sustained period 5
People have the skills to self-direct their ongoing supports and problem solve 4, 5, 6
Natural supports maximized to the greatest extent possible 4, 5, 6
People are satisfied with their housing and have the skills, resources, and connections to

People have rich and fulfilling lives (self-defined) 7
People maintain housing over a sustained period 7
Natural supports maximized to the greatest extent possible 7

People meet goals for identified skills 8
People meet resource goals 8
People move out 8

People maintain housing over a sustained period 9
Participants have a long-term plan for sustainable community living 9
People have the skills to self-direct their ongoing supports and problem solve 9
People are satisfied with their housing and have the skills, resources, and connections to sustain it. 9 (officially just 1x per year)
People's natural supports

People have rich and fulfilling lives (self-defined) 10, 11, 12
People maintain housing over a sustained period 11, 12
Participants have a long-term plan for sustainable community living 13, 11,
Parents feel able to step back in support areas 13
People have the skills to self-direct their ongoing supports and problem solve 10, 11, 12, 13

=====

What impact will this program have on the people it serves? Provide Numbered Outcomes. (300 word limit)

1. FAMILY SUPPORT AND PLANNING: Whole Families have access to the supports that are important for them to fulfill their Community Living Plan.
 - a. Families feel that they have an achievable long-term plan for sustainable community living.
 - 1a: Initial Family Eval Form
 - X = the total number of families who have participated in a Planning Interview during the FY.
 - We use the “New” client list and indicate who has involved families. This is the number of people we attempt to contact. Actual X is the number of people who respond with a timestamp within the fiscal year.
 - Success is indicated when the person has chosen the “Somewhat” - “Extremely” options. Lack of Success is indicated by “not at all” and “A little” options.
 - b. Families indicate a decrease in time spent providing daily living support.
 - 1b: Annual Family Eval Form
 - X = All “Continuing” participants if they have involved families (we have contact with).
 - We use our “continuing client” list. Of these, we choose who has involved families. This is the number of people we attempt to contact. Actual X will be the number of families who actually respond with a timestamp within the fiscal year.
 - Calculate the difference between the initial response or the previous year’s response and the most recent annual eval. We could report the average change, or the mode (most frequent) change.
 - c. Families indicate an increase in their quality of life.
 - 1c: Annual Family Eval Form
 - X = All “Continuing” participants if they have involved families (we have contact with).
 - We use our “continuing client” list. Of these, we choose who has involved families. This is the number of people we attempt to contact. Actual X will be the number of families who actually respond with a timestamp within the fiscal year.
 - Success is indicated when they choose “Somewhat” Or “Yes”. Lack of success is indicated when they choose “No”
 - d. Family members indicate that ICS has supported their person to achieve desired housing, and build natural supports, skills, and connections.
 - 1d: Annual Family Eval Form
 - X = All “Continuing” participants if they have involved families (we have contact with).

- We use our “continuing client” list. Of these, we choose who has involved families. This is the number of people we attempt to contact. Actual X will be the number of families who actually respond.
- Success is indicated when the person has chosen the “Somewhat” - “Extremely” options. Lack of Success is indicated by “not at all” and “A little” options.

2. HOUSING, LEARNING, CONNECTING: Participants build lives in the community.

a. HOUSING

i. Participants maintain stable housing over time

- 2ai: Quarterly Check-In/Narrative Reports

- *X = All “Continuing” participants, including those in consultation*
- *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*
- *POSSIBLE OUTCOME TO report: Do people with stable housing show greater progress/success on goals?*

ii. Participants indicate they are satisfied with their housing

- 2aii: ILSC

- *X = All “Continuing” participants, including those in consultation*
- *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*

iii. Participants indicate ICS has been helpful in finding or sustaining preferred housing.

- 2aiii: ILSC

- *X = All “Continuing” participants, including those in consultation*
- *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*

b. LEARNING

i. Participants develop the skills they identified as critical for community living

- 2bi: Action Plan & Quarterly Check-in/Narrative Report

- ***Of X number of participants, xx% made progress on at least 1 goal.***

- *X= The total participants actively working in the department during the FY.*
 - *Go to client list by quarter document & make list of who should be included:*
 - *Engaged in the program for at least 2 quarters (2 quarterly report entries)*
 - *Participants in Consultation should NOT be counted*
 - *Calculating xx% = Review each person’s goals. If they made progress on at least 1 goal during 1 quarter of the fiscal year, then that is counted as “success”. Then calculate this percentage.*
 - *Progress can include “taking steps on own” or “taking steps with guidance”.*
 - *We could break this down even more and say:*
 - *XXX% made progress on multiple goals*
 - *XXXX% made began making progress independently (w/o our Support)*

- **** the base number of people would always remain the same. X always = the number of total active people in the department (as above). The %s always are based on that.*
- ii. Participants indicate that Inclusive Community Supports have been helpful in skill building.
 - 2bii: ILSC
 - *X = All "Continuing" participants, including those in consultation*
 - *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*

c. CONNECTING

- i. Participants identifying a desire to build connections, find belonging with people, places, or groups in their community.
 - 2ci: Action Plan & Quarterly Check-in/Narrative Report
 - ***Of X number of participants that had goals related to CONNECTING, xx% made progress on at least 1 of their connection goals.***
 - *Count the number of participants who had Connection-related goals from the Narrative Report. This is X - New participants will be counted if they have been engaged in the program for at least 2 quarters (2 quarterly report entries).*
 - *Of these participants, count the number that made progress in at least 1 Q of the past fiscal year. Then calculate the % of these successful cases.*
 - *Progress can include "taking steps on own" or "taking steps with guidance".*
 - *Could breakdown further...*
 - *xxx% is making progress independently at their goal.. etc.*
- ii. Participants indicate ISC has been helpful to their building community connections.
 - 2cii: ILSC
 - *X = All "Continuing" participants, including those in consultation*
 - *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*
- iii. Participants have people and places where they are comfortable
 - 2ciii: ILSC
 - *X = All "Continuing" participants, including those in consultation*
 - *Does NOT Include NEW people. We would NOT include data from the initial ILSC, only the annual (2nd, 3rd, etc).*
 - ***POSSIBLE OUTCOME TO REPORT: Are people with social connections (people and places) more likely to have stable housing?***

3. PERSONAL OUTCOME MEASURES

- a. Participants increase their POM scores in targeted outcomes over time
 - 3a: POM
- b. Participants increase their POM Supports present for targeted outcomes over time
 - 3b: POM

4. PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT CLASSES: Individuals with I/DD build distinct independent living skills
 - a. 100% of participants [15] will indicate growth or skill development based on the course assessments.
 - [4a: Class Pre/Post Evaluation](#)

For each of these outcomes, list the specific survey or assessment tool to be used to collect information on the outcome, and indicate who will provide the data. Associate each with a Numbered Outcome. (300 word limit)

Assessment Tools/Data Collection Used:

- INITIAL FAMILY EVALUATION FORM - Self-Report measure given to all families after the intake planning meeting
- ANNUAL FAMILY EVALUATION FORM - Self-report measure given to all families 12 months following their intake and annually thereafter
- QUARTERLY CHECK-INS /QUARTERLY NARRATIVE REPORTS - Reports drafted by case workers summarizing case notes and participants' progress with their goals as reported on the Narrative Report (see below)
- INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS CHECKLIST (ILSC) - An inventory of critical community-living skills, self-efficacy measures, and participant experience questions reviewed with each participant at intake and annually thereafter
- ACTION PLAN - A document summarizing the person's self-determined goals, and supports provided. Used to guide the quarterly progress records kept in the Quarterly Narrative Reports.
- PERSONAL OUTCOME MEASURES - A highly regarded assessment tool developed by CQL to determine the presence of key life outcomes and support toward those outcomes. This is an interview style assessment that is scored to create a quantitative measurement. This is completed with participants annually.
- CLASS PRE/POST EVALUATIONS - Evaluations are developed to assess course objectives for each class. Effort is taken to collect pre and post class data for all participants.

APPENDIX SECTION V E

OUTCOME NUMBER:			2ai	2aii	2aiii	2bi	BONUS	2bii		
DATA SOURCE:			ILSC/Q Report	ILSC/Q Report	ILSC/Q Report	Q Report	Q Report	ILSC/Q Report		
WHO TO INCLUDE:			All Continuing + Closed Clients (if known), even if in consultation	All Continuing + Closed Clients (if known), even if in consultation	All Continuing + Closed Clients (if known), even if in consultation - NO New People	Continuing clients who are NOT in consultation New Participants w/ 2 Q Report entries	Continuing clients who are NOT in consultation New Participants w/ 2 Q Report entries	All Continuing Clients, including those in consultation		
LIST ALL PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS - delete any closed clients from the "continuing list"	Program Status	CONSULTATION for more than 1/2 the year? (consultation = the person doesn't have any specific goals to work on, and any support is informal and not "life-critical")	Did the person maintain stable housing from one year to the next?	Did the person indicate that they are satisfied with their housing?	Did the person indicate that ICS has been helpful in their finding or sustaining preferred housing?	Did the participant make progress on at least 1 goal for 1 Q? (progress = taking steps on own or with guidance)	Did they made progress on multiple goals?	Did the person indicate that ICS had been helpful in Skill Building?		
		Client A	Continuing	YES	YES	YES		NO	N/A	NO
		Client B	New Q4	No	YES	YES		N/A - Initial year	YES	N/A

2ci	2ci	2cii	2ciii	3a	3a	3a		3b
Q Report	Q Report	ILSC/Q Report	ILSC/Q Report	POM/Q Report	POM/Q Report	POM/Q Report		POM/Q Report
Review All	All participants w/ Connection Goals (must have 1 Q Report Entries) – can sort for "Yes" in Column J	All Continuing + Closed Clients (if known), even if in consultation - NO New People	All Continuing + Closed Clients (if known), even if in consultation - NO New People	All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present	All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present	All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present		All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present
Did the person have a goal related to Connections?	Did the person make progress on their Connection Goal (taking steps on own or with guidance)?	Did the person indicate that ICS had been helpful in building community connections?	Does the person indicate that they have people and places they feel connected to?	Number of Targeted POM Outcomes Present THIS YEAR:	Number of Targeted POM Outcomes Present in initial POM? (if known)	Number of Targeted POM Outcomes present last year?		Number of Targeted POM Supports Present THIS YEAR:
NO	N/A	NO	YES	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
NO	N/A	N/A	YES	5	5	N/A		1

3b	3b		3a+b	4a	4a	4a	4a	4a
POM/Q Report	POM/Q Report		POM/Q Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report
All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present	All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present		All Continuing Clients who are NOT in Consultation, include closed clients if data is present					
				Class 1	Class 1	Class 2	Class 2	Class 3
Number of Targeted POM Support Present in initial POM? (if known)	Number of Targeted POM Supports present last year?		Number of years in program?	How many participants?	How many participants indicated growth	How many participants?	How many participants indicated growth	How many participants?
N/A	N/A		5					
1	N/A		0					

4a	4a	4a	4a	4a
Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report	Classes Narrative Report
Class 3	Class 4	Class 4	Class 5	Class 5
How many participa nts indicated growth	How many participa nts?	How many participa nts indicated growth	How many participa nts?	How many participa nts indicated growth

APPENDICES FOR SECTION VI

Appendix Items:

Section VI A: CDC List of Risk and Protective Factors for Sexual Violence Perpetration

Section VI B: RACES Data Workbook

Violence Prevention

Risk and Protective Factors

Risk factors are linked to a greater likelihood of sexual violence (SV) perpetration. They are contributing factors and might not be direct causes. Not everyone who is identified as at risk becomes a perpetrator of violence. A combination of individual, relational, community, and societal factors contribute to the risk of becoming a perpetrator of SV. Understanding these factors can help identify various opportunities for prevention.

CDC focuses on preventing the first-time perpetration of SV. Watch [Moving Forward](#) to learn more about how increasing what protects people from violence and reducing what puts people at risk for it benefits everyone.

Risk Factors for Perpetration

Individual Risk Factors

- Alcohol and drug use
- Delinquency
- Lack of concern for others
- Aggressive behaviors and acceptance of violent behaviors
- Early sexual initiation
- Coercive sexual fantasies
- Preference for impersonal sex and sexual-risk taking
- Exposure to sexually explicit media
- Hostility towards women
- Adherence to traditional gender role norms
- Hyper-masculinity
- Suicidal behavior
- Prior sexual victimization or perpetration

Relationship Factors

- Family history of conflict and violence
- Childhood history of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse
- Emotionally unsupportive family environment
- Poor parent-child relationships, particularly with fathers
- Association with sexually aggressive, hypermasculine, and delinquent peers
- Involvement in a violent or abusive intimate relationship

Community Factors

- Poverty
- Lack of employment opportunities
- Lack of institutional support from police and judicial system
- General tolerance of sexual violence within the community
- Weak community sanctions against sexual violence perpetrators

Societal Factors

-
- Societal norms that support sexual violence
 - Societal norms that support male superiority and sexual entitlement
 - Societal norms that maintain women's inferiority and sexual submissiveness
 - Weak laws and policies related to sexual violence and gender equity
 - High levels of crime and other forms of violence

Protective Factors for Perpetration

Protective factors may lessen the likelihood of sexual violence victimization or perpetration. These factors can exist at individual, relational, community, and societal levels.

- Families where caregivers work through conflicts peacefully
- Emotional health and connectedness
- Academic achievement
- Empathy and concern for how one's actions affect others

References



1. Tharp, A. T., DeGue, S., Valle, L. A., Brookmeyer, K. A., Massetti, G. M., & Matjasko, J. L. (2013). A systematic qualitative review of risk and protective factors for sexual violence perpetration. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 14(2), 133-167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838012470031>

Page last reviewed: February 5, 2022

APPENDIX SECTION VI B: RACES Data Workbook

AutoSave Off Appendix_B_RACES_Data_Workbook Search (Alt+Q)

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat

C3

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Formula for "Counts for all Schools" tab:	=SUMIF('K2 All Schools'!B3:B4136,"Incorrect",'K2 All Schools'!C3:C4124)	Circle the box that shows what to do if someone asks to see or touch your body parts. Correct: B; Key Outcome 1	Circle the box that shows someone using the Always Ask First skill.	Circle the box that says how to refuse an unsafe touch.	Circle the box that shows what your private body parts are.
2	School	Accuracy	Q1_pre	Q2_pre	Q3_pre	Q4_pre
3	St Joe	Correct				
4	St Joe	Incorrect				
5	Booker T	Correct				
6	Booker T	Incorrect				
7	Broadmeadow	Correct				
8	Broadmeadow	Incorrect				
9	Carrie Busey	Correct				
10	Carrie Busey	Incorrect				
11						

AutoSave Off Appendix_B_RACES_Data_Workbook Search (Alt+Q)

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat

H11

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1		K2 Grade Pre-Test (N = 500)						
2			Pre Q1	Pre Q2	Pre Q3	Pre Q4		The formulas on this sheet use the "SUMIF" function in Excel to only count the numbers who answered each question "Correct" and "Incorrect" (searches Column B for "correct" or "incorrect" and sums the number of students in Column C, D, E, etc)
3	Correct	0	0	0	0			
4	Incorrect	0	0	0	0			
5								
6		K2 Grade Post-Test (N = 300)						
7			Post Q1	Post Q2	Post Q3	Post Q4		
8	Correct							
9	Incorrect							
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16		3-5 Grade Pre-Test (N = 400)						
17			Pre Q1	Pre Q2	Pre Q3	Pre Q4		=SUMIF('K2 All Schools'!B3:B4136,"Incorrect",'K2 All Schools'!C3:C4124)
18	Correct	0						
19	Incorrect	0						
20								
21								
22		3-5 Grade Post-Test (N = 400)						
23			Post Q1	Post Q2	Post Q3	Post Q4		
24	Correct							
25	Incorrect							
26								

3-5 All Schools | 6-8 All Schools | 9-12 All Schools | **Counts for all Schools**

APPENDICES FOR SECTION VII

Appendix Items:

Section VII A: Core Workshop Items

Section VII B: Evaluated Outcomes

Section VII C: Overview of Data Workbook

Core Workshop Items

Core Assessment Item Language:		Core Assessment Item Response Options and Assigned Values	
Item Prompt: "PRIOR TO participating in the training, how would you rate yourself?"		Response Option	Numeric Value
Pre_1	"My knowledge about LGBTQ+ issues in general"	Not at all	0
		A little	1
		Moderately	2
Pre_2	"My knowledge about trans experiences"	Quite a bit	3
		A lot/ Extremely	4
Pre_3	"My confidence to share my pronouns"	No response	"Missing response"
Pre_4	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong pronouns for another person"		
Pre_5	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong name for another person"		
Pre_6	"My awareness of the impact of correct language use for the LGBTQ+ community"		
Pre_7	"My intent to improve experiences for LGBTQ+ people"		

Item Prompt: "AFTER participating in the training, how would you rate yourself?"	
Post_1	<i>"My knowledge about LGBTQ+ issues in general"</i>
Post_2	<i>"My knowledge about trans experiences"</i>
Post_3	<i>"My confidence to share my pronouns"</i>
Post_4	<i>"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong pronouns for another person"</i>
Post_5	<i>"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong name for another person"</i>
Post_6	<i>"My awareness of the impact of correct language use for the LGBTQ+ community"</i>
Post_7	<i>"My intent to improve experiences for LGBTQ+ people"</i>

Core Assessment Item Response Options and Assigned Values	
Response Option	Numeric Value
Not at all	0
A little	1
Moderately	2
Quite a bit	3
A lot/ Extremely	4
No response	<i>"Missing response"</i>

Evaluated Workshop Outcomes

Outcome Language:
X% of participants reported an increase on at least 1 assessment item.
X% of participants experienced an 4 or more assessment items.
X% of participants experienced an increase on all 7 assessment items.
Overall, workshop participants demonstrated statistically significant change on [X] number of assessment items.
Overall, participants rated the relevance of the training as <i>[qualitative descriptor here; example :very high]</i> , with an average rating of X.X out of 10 (with 1 being low and 10 being very high).
Overall, participants rated the knowledge level of the workshop presenter as <i>[insert qualitative descriptor (e.g., very high/high)]</i> , with an average rating of X.X out of 10 (with 1 being low and 10 being very high).
Overall, workshop participants indicated that they would be <i>[insert qualitative descriptor (e.g., very likely/likely/unlikely)]</i> to recommend the training to others, with an average response of [X.X] out of 10 (with 1 being low and 10 being very high).

Number of workshop respondents indicating improvements on	Percentage of Total Sample
...at least one assessment item	0%
...4 or more assessment items	0%
...all 7 assessment items	0%

APPENDIX SECTION VII C

Excel Analysis Workbook Overview

Reference Sheet:

Includes:

- Description of Data Fields, Survey Item Language, Response Options, and Assigned Values Used Within this Workbook
- Reported Outcome Language, Description of Data Source(s), and Formula(s) Used in Analysis
- Overview of Collected Demographic Information, Item Language, and Response Options

Procedure:

- When making any changes to definitions, group categories, etc, be sure to save a copy of the workbook with the previous data in case anything goes wrong.
- Be sure to update categories, definitions, etc on the reference sheet of the Workbook.
- Note that sometimes adding categories can create problems in existing formulas, so try not to do this often.

Data Field:	Description:	Data Entry Method and Format:
Workshop Date	Date the workshop was held, not necessarily the same date the survey was completed	Manually entered; Column is formatted as "Short Date"
Workshop Type	Describes the nature of the workshop in terms of a) whether the content was significantly customized for the setting, and if not then b) the length of the workshop (standard/extended)	Dropdown selection; see response options in a following table ("Workshop Type")
Workshop Context	Describes the workshop setting/ affiliation of primary attendees (If multiple audiences/settings, consider the primary intended audience)	Dropdown selection; see response options in a following table ("Workshop Attendee Affiliation/Context")

Workshop Attendee Setting/Context	
Standard	Standard UP Cultural Competency Workshop
Extended	Standard workshop plus addition of calling in/calling out and roleplay section
Customized	Workshop including components outside of the standard/extended training templates (e.g., asexuality spectrum workshops)

Core Assessment Item Language:	
Item Prompt: "PRIOR TO participating in the training, how would you rate yourself?"	
Pre_1	"My knowledge about LGBTQ+ issues in general"
Pre_2	"My knowledge about trans experiences"
Pre_3	"My confidence to share my pronouns"
Pre_4	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong pronouns for another person"
Pre_5	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong name for another person"
Pre_6	"My awareness of the impact of correct language use for the LGBTQ+ community"
Pre_7	"My intent to improve experiences for LGBTQ+ people"

Item Prompt: "AFTER participating in the training, how would you rate yourself?"	
Post_1	"My knowledge about LGBTQ+ issues in general"
Post_2	"My knowledge about trans experiences"
Post_3	"My confidence to share my pronouns"
Post_4	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong pronouns for another person"
Post_5	"My confidence to correct someone who uses the wrong name for another person"
Post_6	"My awareness of the impact of correct language use for the LGBTQ+ community"
Post_7	"My intent to improve experiences for LGBTQ+ people"

Workshop Attendee Affiliation/Context:	Education Professionals
	Mental/Behavioral Health Professionals
	Medical Professionals
	Students/Youth
	Family Members/Close Allies
	Religious Organization
	Another Type of Affiliation/Organizational Setting

Core Assessment Rated Items Response Options and Assigned Values	
Response Option	Numeric Value
10 (High)	10
9	9
8	8
7	7
6	6
5	5
4	4
3	3
2	2
1 (Low)	1
No response	"No data"

Rated Items:

Relevance_Rating	<i>"How would you rate the relevance of this training?"</i>
-------------------------	---

Knowledge_Rating	<i>"How would you rate the knowledge level of the presenter?"</i>
-------------------------	---

Recommend_Rating	<i>"Please rate how likely are you to recommend this training to other people"</i>
-------------------------	--

<i>When Applicable</i>	Corrections_Rating	<i>"How would you rate the helpfulness of the Corrections/Calling In vs. Calling Out and Role Play section of this training?"</i>
------------------------	---------------------------	---

<i>If not applicable (i.e., question is not asked), enter N/A in relevant cells</i>	
---	--

Data Field Name:	Item Language:
Age	"What is your age, in years?"
Gender	"What is your gender? (Select all that apply)"
Gender Identity	"Do you identify as transgender?"
Sexual Orientation/Identity	"What is your sexual identity or orientation? (Select all that apply)"
Race	"What is your race? (Check all that apply)"
Ethnicity	"What is your ethnicity? (Check all that apply)"
Zipcode	"What is your home zipcode?"

Respondent Data Entry Notes:	UP Data Entry Method and Format:
Manually entered by respondent	Respondent data is copied and pasted from initial data file to the "Data_Aggregated Demographics" worksheet in this workbook.
Respondents select from pre-created categories; see response options below.	
Respondents select "Yes" (indicating they identify as transgender) or "No".	
Respondents select from pre-created categories; see response options below.	
Respondents select from pre-created categories; see response options below.	
Respondents select from pre-created categories; see response options below.	
Manually entered by respondent.	

Respondent Gender:
Agender
Genderqueer or genderfluid
Māhū
Man
Muxe
Nonbinary
Two-Spirit
Woman
Questioning or unsure
My gender is not listed (please specify):
Prefer not to answer [<i>Consider adding</i>]
(No response)

Respondent Gender Identity
Yes [i.e., I do identify as transgender]
No [i.e., I do not identify as transgender]
Prefer not to answer [<i>Consider adding</i>]
(No response)

Respondent Sexual Orientation/Identity:
Aromantic
Asexual
Bisexual
Fluid
Gay
Lesbian
Pansexual
Queer
Questioning or unsure
Same-gender loving
Straight (heterosexual)
Omnisexual
My sexual identity is not listed
Prefer not to answer [<i>Consider adding</i>]
(No response)

Respondent Racial Identity:
White
Black or African American
American Indian or Alaskan Native
Asian or Asian American
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
My race is not listed (Please Specify)
Prefer not to answer [Consider adding]
(No response)

Respondent Ethnicity:
Hispanic or Latinx
Not Hispanic or Latinx
My ethnicity is not listed (Please Specify)
Prefer not to answer [Consider adding]
(No response)