

# CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD BROADBAND TASK FORCE AGENDA

#### County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

Monday, July 9, 2024 - 6:30 p.m.

Shields-Carter Meeting Room Brookens Administrative Center 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana

### Committee Members:

Stephanie Burnett Samantha Carter – Vice-Chair Bailey Conrady - Chair Lorraine Cowart M.C. Neal Mike Smeltzer Mike Smith Eric Thorsland Jeff Wilson

## Agenda Items

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Agenda/Addendum
- IV. Approval of Minutes A. June 10, 2024
- V. Public Participation
- VI. Communications
- VII. New BusinessA. Presentation of Nextlink proposal, discussion, and vote on recommendation to the County Board

#### VIII. Other Business A. Date of next meeting

- IX. Chair's Report
- X. Adjournment

1	NTY O	HANGH, ILLINOIS	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD
2	COUNT	AND	BROADBAND TASK FORCE AGENDA
3	Ë MANA		County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois
4			Monday, June 10, 2024 - 6:30 p.m.
5	$\mathbb{A}$		Shields-Carter Meeting Room
•	1 All		Brookens Administrative Center
7	FEBRUAR	Y 20, 10	1776 E. Washington St., Urbana
8 9	MINU	TES – Subie	ect to Review and Approval
10		ers Present:	Bailey Conrady, M.C. Neal, Stephanie Burnett, Samantha Carter, Mike
11	10101110		Smith, Eric Thorsland, and Jeff Wilson. (Mike Smeltzer-Zoom)
12	NG 1		
13	Membe	ers Absent:	Lorraine Cowart
14 15	Othors	Present:	Michalle Lett (Director of Administration) Time Arbeitan Zoom (Einley Engineering) Craig
15 16	Others	Present:	Michelle Jett (Director of Administration), Tim Arbeiter-Zoom (Finley Engineering), Craig Hall- Zoom (Nextlink), Peter Folk & Jason Young (VOLO), Paul Hixon-Zoom,
16 17			Kaitlyn Kuzio (Grant Coordinator), and Elisabeth Dillingham (Recording Secretary)
			Kattiyii Kuzio (Orant Coordinator), and Ensabeth Diningham (Recording Secretary)
18 19	Agond	<u>a Items</u>	
20	Agenu		
20	I.	Call to Ord	ler
22	1.		
23		Ms. Conrad	ly called the meeting to order at 6:41p.m.
24			
25	II.	Roll Call	
26			
27		Roll call wa	as taken, and a quorum was declared present.
28			
29	III.	Approval o	of Agenda/Addendum
30 31		MOTION	by Mr. Smith to approve the agenda; seconded by Ms. Carter. Upon voice vote, the
32			CARRIED unanimously.
33		MOTION	CARRIED unanimously.
34	IV.	Approval o	of Minutes
35		A. January	
36		5	
37		MOTION	by Mr. Smith to approve the minutes of the January 29, 2024, meeting, seconded by Mr.
38		Wilson. Up	oon voice vote, the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.
39			
40	V.	Public Part	ticipation
41		<b>T</b> 1	
42		There was r	no Public Participation.
43	VI.	Communic	ations
44 45	V 1.	Communic	
46		There were	no communications.
47			
48	VII.	New Busin	ess
49		A. <u>Update</u>	
50			er Folk, founder of VOLO, was present to discuss their current progress of pursuing digital
51			and equity throughout the County. Mr. Tim Arbeiter from Finley Engineering navigated the
52			Point while Mr. Folk gave the presentation. Volo is basically on track with the plans. There are
53			jects they are working on. The first project is Connect Champaign County Fiber Now. This
54			is in phase one of building a fiber backbone within Champaign County. This phase will bring
55		fiber In	ternet to 596 rural premises. Their application has been approved. They are receiving 4.7

56	million dollars of County funding and 7.1 million dollars of state funding. The project timeline of
57 58	completion is at the end of 2025.
59	The second project is Volo Champaign Housing Authority (VCHAP) which is the absolute most in
60	need from an economic standpoint in the community. This project will provide next generation, W-
61	Fi- seven in every housing authority managed unit. Training and management for maintenance over
62	the next five years is included. The goal of the project is to cut out any financial challenges and
63	provide effective training to users. It has not been funded by the state yet. Volo has decided to roll
64	out and pivot to VCHAP 2.0, which is a slim down version of the VCHAP project. Champaign
65	County, Volo, and Housing Authority have all allocated resources for this project. Mr. Folk is hoping
66	they get the approval to proceed with VCHAP 2.0 from the Broadband Committee. This will service
67	355 homes with 450 total access points. If there is no current wiring in the Housing Authority
68	properties, they will not be able to include those properties. The properties they can service are more
69	likely to have school aged children in these areas. The County provided \$195,000 funding and he is
70	hoping they can continue to pursue VCHAP 2.0. Summer of next year would be the completion date
71	with five years of service for residents starting at the completion date. Properties this project would
72	serve are Providence at Thornberry, Crystal View, Highland Green, Pinewood Place, Douglas Square,
73	and the manor at Prairie Crossing. This serves 355 units or 721 beds, up to 721 people served, and
74	438 access points.
75	
76	Mr. Neal asked if they have had conversation with the Housing Authority on the proposed plan and
77	how it would affect the people they are leaving out.
78	
79	Mr. Folk stated he has not had any conversations regarding he people who are left out and
80	acknowledged he probably should have more conversations about that. He added the next round of
81	funding through the State of Illinois Connect Illinois funding is more focused on digital equity.
82	VOLO will re-propose for that phase of funding to complete the rest of the project, so no one is left
83	out.
84 85	Mr. Voyng from Vale stated they can utilize the initial measures of a nilet measurem to obtain date to
85 86	Mr. Young from Volo stated they can utilize the initial programs as a pilot program to obtain data to focus on future applications for equity and inclusion.
87	focus on future applications for equity and inclusion.
88	Ms. Carter asked how they chose who would be served and who would be served later.
89	his. Curter asked now they enose who would be served and who would be served later.
90	Mr. Folk stated it is based on who has existing CAT 5 wiring.
91	
92	Mr. Thorsland inquired about rural easement and access for the backbone.
93	1
94	Mr. Folk stated they will not work on it in a final way until the project is almost over so no one shows
95	up and stops it. They are working with the Farm Bureau on those concerns and the project.
96	
97	Ms. Conrady congratulated Volo on their Fiber Now funding. She explained the easement situation is
98	favorable to both the landowners and Internet Service Providers (ISP) Once the maps are laid out, the
99	appropriate people will be contacted.
100	
101	Mr. Wilson asked why the Connect Illinois funding fell through.
102	
103	Mr. Folk stated he was not officially informed it was denied but believes it has since he hasn't been
104	informed it was approved.
105	Discussion annual recording the importance of the alderly community and homes with shildren
106 107	Discussion ensued regarding the importance of the elderly community and homes with children having access to Internet access.
107	
100	

109	Mr. Wilson asked when support starts for the install.
110	
111	Mr. Folk replied that the support starts after the installation is complete. For instance, if a device
112	stops working, the homeowner can call the company for assistance and a technician will respond if
113	needed.
114	
115	Mr. Neal confirmed with Mr. Folk that the ISP is independent of the project.
116	
117	Discussion ensued between Taskforce Board Members regarding service options and affordability.
118	
119	Ms. Burnett stated there has been no discussion from the Housing Authority about internet service at
120	this time. She added that some of the common areas do have internet service, however, there are also
121	locations that have no wiring or wi-fi. She advised the board there was a 2024 plan that needs
122	approved by HUD, the rent will be reduced which may provide a way for them to obtain internet
123	service to their home.
124	
125	Mr. Folk stated the smallest of units is Manor at the Crossing with single 18 beds and the largest is
126	Providence at Thornberry with 160 units and 360 beds. He estimated the average price per unit for
127	Broadband is \$25.00-\$30.00 per month for the lowest cost.
128	
129	
130	B. <u>Update from Nextlink</u>
131	
132	Mr. Craig Hall from Nextlink spoke via zoom regarding updates of their process.
133	He indicated Nextlink did not receive the grant funding from the state. Mr. Hall spoke about
134	other options to utilize the money to provide internet access. There was no feedback from the State
135	of Illinois as to why their project was not awarded.
136	of minors as to why then project was not awarded.
137	Ms. Jett advised the board what Administration is looking for from the Broadband Taskforce
138	Committee. Nextlink had the more rural plan which requires more construction and more land for fewer
139	houses.
140	nouses.
140	There are two options left for Nextlink to utilize the County funding that was given to them.
142	There are two options left for reextink to utilize the county funding that was given to them.
143	1) Do what they can with the ARPA money that was given to them to get something in the ground.
143	<ul><li>2) Talk about options with fixed wireless systems.</li></ul>
144	2) Taik about options with fixed whereas systems.
145	This is a bit different than what was consistently discussed with the Taskforce. Ms. Jett asked
140	the Taskforce to speak with Mr. Hall about what the fixed wireless option is and determine it is in
147 148	line with the mission and vision of what we are trying to accomplish prior to drafting a proposal
148	of how that would work.
149 150	of now that would work.
150	Mr. Hall stated Nextlink offers broadband, and the terminology is a matter of speed which is
152	incredibly fast. He explained fiber can scale up easier and fixed wireless can scale but would
153 154	require updated equipment after 6-8 years. With fixed wireless, radios with antennas are installed on
154 155	exterior structures throughout the County in sectors to aim outwards and equipment would be placed in the homes. If a frequency becomes too full, it will create imming and a loss of service. Mr
155 156	the homes. If a frequency becomes too full, it will create jamming and a loss of service. Mr.
156	Hall talked about the Pros & Cons of what Nextlink can offer. The minimum cost of a plan at
157	100 megabits per second is around \$49.00 a month. A router would be required in the residence.
158	He compared the install to installing a DISH. He stated the cost is 15% less than the cost of fiber.
159	The install is quick, and the project could be completed by the end of the year. He talked about lag
160	and said the system does not have those issues. Installation costs are \$150.00 with a contract period
161	or \$250.00 for an installation charge with no contract.

162	
163	There was discussion by multiple Taskforce members who were concerned about Nextlink connectivity
164	issues and outages due to the weather. One concern came from a Taskforce member who is a current
165	Nextlink customer who has experienced connectivity issues and outages in the past due to inclement
166	weather. Mr. Hall stated rain, ice, and snow does not make a significant impact on the fixed wireless
167	service. Mr. Hall stated a very heavy rain might knock out service. He indicated his service trucks
168	usually respond to outages within a few hours. He talked about regularly updating equipment to ensure it
169	is not outdated. He ensured the Board he would do his very best to earn the trust of the committee which
170	requires time and history. He stated his company is currently operating in 12 states across the country.
171	requires time and instory. The stated ins company is currently operating in 12 states across the country.
172	Mr. Neal asked if the Taskforce went with this route, would customers be able to choose their own ISP, or
173	would they have to use Nextlink. He also inquired who absorbs the cost of outdated equipment that needs
174	replaced.
175	
176	Mr. Hall stated it would be Nextlinks product and Nextlinks service. Mr. Hall also advised the committee
177	when there is upgrade to the external equipment, the homes would need upgraded equipment as well. The
178	upgrades to the equipment installed in residences would be at the cost of Nextlink.
179	
180	Mr. Smeltzer appeared via Zoom. He asked Mr. Hall if the ARDOF money awarded to Nextlink has been
181	utilized. He expressed concern about Mr. Hall stating bands travel through leaves on trees. He then
182	questioned Mr. Hall about his comment regarding lower latency on the new equipment versus fiber. Mr.
183	Smeltzer stated he would like a report on supportive data. He advised Mr. Hall that if he were to install
184	a wall to wall 120 fixed wireless system in every home or geographical area within Champaign County,
185	he would kill the ability for anyone in the County to obtain federal or state funds for Broadband ever
186	again. He does not believe it is a good idea. Fixed wireless is a quick fix but not a long-term solution Mr.
187	Smelter is comfortable with to properly serve future generations.
188	
189	Mr. Hall stated the ARDOF money has been used to install various towers east to west throughout the
190	County. He was unclear as to the exact numbers of towers installed but estimated there are 10-12. Mr.
191	Hall related his equipment penetrates a moderate amount of tree coverage. He stated it is different in the
192	winter when the leaves are down. Mr. Hall spoke about the upgraded equipment and better frequency.
193	whiter when the reaves are down. With than spoke about the upgraded equipment and better frequency.
194	Mr. Smith asked about the type of antennas and frequencies which are used. He also discussed the
195	physical limits and performance metrics of the system.
196	physical mints and performance metrics of the system.
	Ma II-11 stated die enternasien versie diverse dithet and flat inside the housing of the matic. Ma II-11
197	Mr. Hall stated the antennas are very advanced that are flat inside the housing of the radio. Mr. Hall
198	stated he is not quite familiar with all the equipment. He related the new equipment has a multi-pathing
199	frequency. He stated that all systems will have a drive testing to see how well the system is performing as
200	soon as the installation takes place. The systems are them monitored for function capability.
201	
202	Ms. Jett asked how many towers they have in the county at this time and if there are plans to add more
203	towers.
204	
205	Mr. Hall replied he believes they have double digit towers. They have plans to close some gaps and
206	uncertain if it is on anyone's agenda.
207	
208	Ms. Jett asked if the towers Champaign County is paying for is in those gaps or would they be new towers
209	specifically for the areas identified on the map.
210	
211	Mr. Hall replied that the gaps would be a major part of any plan. He added that just because there are
212	towers in the community doesn't mean there are enough of them to cover the entire county. They would
213	look at a potential overlap in how the towers cover the community
213 214	look at a potential overlap in how the towers cover the community.

215	Mr. Wilson stated the county is about 1000 square miles. He estimated they would need about 25-35
216	towers. He advised that close to 90% of the county is rural. He stated the other option is to do nothing.
217	He acknowledged Mr. Smeltzer raised some interesting problems about the long-term goal of serving the
218	entire community with the best fiber or broadband possible, along with the challenge of losing the bigger
219	pot of money with state or federal funding. He reminded the committee that an option would be to just
220	table the whole thing. He asked the time frame to re-apply for the funding that fell through.
221	and the state and the action are there to be apply for the familing and for an angul
222	Mr. Arbeiter stated the current funding is winding down. They are oversubscribed, meaning too many
223	people applied for the funding. He believes the next funding called "Connect Four" might be available in
223	late summer or early fall. They do not have a definitive timeline of when the Broadband Equity, Access,
225	and Deployment ( <b>BEAD</b> ) program funding will be available.
	and Deproyment ( <b>DEAD</b> ) program funding will be available.
226	Mr. Wilson only of Mr. Tett if the funding in last and really sets day arouthing also and relative heat shairs
227	Mr. Wilson asked Ms. Jett if the funding is lost and reallocated to something else and what the best choice
228	is for allocation.
229	
230	Ms. Jett clarified the ARPA money needs to be allocated by the end of this year. She related the five
231	million dollars is a significant amount of money that would require some real thought as to where it will
232	go moving forward. A concern would be earmarking these funds for this project in hopes the grant gets
233	awarded next round and it doesn't. This leaves us with the five million dollars, we are then out of luck
234	and didn't come up with Plan B that we do not have time to execute. This is a huge infrastructure project
235	that will take some time with construction and easements. The juncture with Nextlink we are at now is we
236	have three choices.
237	1) Do we want a proposal from Nextlink for what they can do for fiber for five million dollars?
238	2) Do we want a proposal for what they can do for fixed wireless and fiber for five million dollars?
239	3) Do we want to talk about moving in a different direction and allocating the five million dollars to
240	something else?
241	
242	Ms. Jett stated the committee needs to bring a recommendation to the County Board before we get heavy
243	into the budget cycle before August. It would be a heavy lift to reallocate the funds.
244	
245	Mr. Hall stated this is not a quick and dirty fix. Nextlink is top heavy across the states they serve. They
246	have a lot of fiber and even more fixed wireless with a ton of customers.
247	
248	Ms. Carter clarified the fixed wireless is less expensive so they can supply more of the county and the
249	fiber optic is more expensive, more reliable, but less coverage as to how many people could be serviced.
250	
251	Ms. Jett informed Ms. Carter she was correct on all points. Ms. Jett stated we have fixed wireless within
252	the county, and we do not have Broadband throughout the county. She reminded the committee the goal
253	of the taskforce was to figure out how we can have Broadband throughout the county. Ms. Jett stated in
254	terms of long-term possibilities, we have a Grant Coordinator, and we will have a lot of money for
255	internet access the next 10-15 years so we can continue working on this issue for a long time if that is
255	what the Taskforce wishes to do as the focus. Another option is an immediate fix for more fixed wireless
257	for more access in the present time frame for people in rural communities.
258	for more access in the present time frame for people in fural communities.
	Ms. Conter sought algorification on Mr. Smaltzer's providus comment on the possibility of losing future
259	Ms. Carter sought clarification on Mr. Smeltzer's previous comment on the possibility of losing future funding for Internet corrido. Ms. Correctly clarified that if these speeds of fixed wireless, at this point and
260	funding for Internet service. Ms. Conrady clarified that if those speeds of fixed wireless, at this point and time, would produde these energy of Champaign County that are severed by that fixed wireless from being
261	time, would preclude those areas of Champaign County that are covered by that fixed wireless from being
262	eligible for Federal and State grants that could put fiber in the ground because those people would be
263	considered served and therefore be ineligible for additional grant funding.
264	
265	Mr. Smeltzer concurred and added that if 10-mile diameter areas are overlapped with towers, there will be
266	people who are affected that aren't even part of the project. Even outlining counties could be picked up
267	as part of the process.

268			
269		Mr. Thorsland stated the original goal of the committee was to focus on broadband and fiber-based	
270		service to at least get a backbone which would ring around the county to continue trying to leverage funds	
271		that will continue to come up from state and federal government. He reiterated he wants to get everyone	
272		on good fiber. He explained his strong disappointment with fixed wireless service when the wind blows	
273		as he previously lived in the country. Long term, he prefers we get as much high-quality fiber in the	
274		county as possible. He stated he does not want to put a band-aid on this project and would like to do what	
275		they said they would do.	
276		mey suid mey would do.	
277		Mr. Neal agrees with Mr. Thorland's comments.	
		Mi. Neal agrees with Mi. Thomand's comments.	
278			
279		Ms. Conrady reminded the committee it was formed to bring the best broadband they could to all citizens	
280		of the county, both urban and rural. For too long, we have just gotten by, and this is a once in a lifetime	
281		opportunity to do better than that. It is doing this project right and well. Ms. Conrady asked the board if	
282		they were agreeable to have Nextlink as a preferred prescriber, and to prepare a proposal of what they	
283		can offer for five million dollars.	
284			
285		Mr. Wilson stated he was not comfortable with that idea as we would re-open the que as to who would do	
286		the work.	
287			
288		Ms. Jett stated she researched the contract if a scenario presented if federal funding was denied. We are	
289		contractually bound to put forth a good faith effort. It would be a breach of contract if we did not ask	
290			
		Nextlink to draft an alternative and not come up with a draft as to what they could offer for five million	
291		dollars. Ms. Jett suggested that the Board ask Nextlink to draft a proposal of what they could offer for	
292		five million dollars for just fiber and go from there.	
293			
294		Mr. Hixson appeared via Zoom. He was previous U of I Chief Information Officer (CIO). He worked 42	
295		years at the U of I in the College of Agriculture Extension. He cares about the underserved rural and	
296		urban folks. He concurs with what Mr. Smeltzer said. He stated he believes Mr. Hall is giving beyond a	
297		glossy interpretation of what fixed wireless would do. His concern is enabling a disservice to the	
298		community if we do not focus on true broadband.	
299			
300		Ms. Conrady explained the next steps. Nextlink was asked to prepare a proposal for what they can do for	
301		five million dollars in their areas of the county as laid out in the MOU with VOLO regarding fiber	
302		installation. To stay ahead of the budget process, a meeting will be scheduled as soon as they receive the	
302		Nextlink proposal in the next few weeks.	
		Nextinik proposal in the next few weeks.	
304			
305		Ms. Jett stated we need to work out the timeline so the committee can receive the proposal and can review	
306		it to come up with a recommendation for the July County Board Meeting. We need to at least move	
307		forward in some direction.	
308			
309	VIII.	Other Business	
310		A. Date of next meeting-TBD.	
311			
312	IX.	Chair's Report	
313		There was no Chair's Report.	
314		•	
315	X.	Adjournment	
316	4 80	Ms. Conrady adjourned the meeting at 8:47 p.m.	
317		no. Comma judjournou the moorning at 0.17 p.m.	
318	-		
319	Please note the minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business		
320 conducted at the meeting.			
	Chamn	aign County Board	
	Ciruinb		

Champaign County Board Broadband Task Force